STRUCTURAL ASSIGNMENT OF CHLORO- AND BROMO-VINYL ALDEHYDES BY Yb(DPM)2-ASSISTED PROTON NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE

C. Beauté, Z.W. Wolkowski (1) and J.P. Merda, D. Lelandais Laboratoire de Chimie Organique Structurale, 8 rue Cuvier, Paris, FRANCE (Received in UK 26 April 1971; accepted in UK for publication 2 June 1971)

The addition of rare earth chelates to solutions of organic compounds with a heteroatom lone pair has lead to numerous studies by proton NMR (2). This method was applied to a series of chloro and bromovinyl aldehydes (3), and tris(dipivalomethanato)ytterbium (4) used as shift reagent. <u>Table 1</u>, containing Δ Yb(4) values obtained for 10% CCl₄ solutions at 60 MHz and 38°C, includes the corresponding aldehydes as reference.

All existing reports have stressed the inactivity of halogens in complex formation, therefore results are related to interaction with the carbonyl oxygen. The decreasing shift order observed is $H_{ald} > R_3 > R_2 > R_1$, and even a primary distance-dependence leads to an s-trans conformation for all investigated compounds. A geometrical model for the interaction was therefore attempted by two approaches, both described by a pseudocontact term (5):

$$\Delta Y_{b} = \frac{\Delta H}{H} = \kappa \frac{(3\cos^{2}_{1}\chi_{-1})}{R_{4}^{3}}$$
 (I)

with K- constant in a molecule for a given temperature

R_i- Yb-i proton distance

 χ_{i} - corresponding O-Yb-proton internuclear angle.

HINCKLEY's approximation (6) was first used and χ considered invariant for all protons. The log Δ Yb=f(log R) functions were found for Yb-O distances between 2 and 4 Å and ψ (angle formed by the Yb-O vector and x-axis of the carbonyl bond) varying between +30° and -90°. For the ytterbium ion located as in <u>figure 1</u>, a straight line with a slope of -3 was obtained. In the second approach, the angular variations of equation (I) were respected (7), and a similar straight line was found only when placing Yb³⁺ on the x-axis at 3Å from the carbonyl oxygen (<u>figure 2</u>), with better accuracy when R₃=H.



2473

∆Yb=(β -	δ_{cc1}	ppm,	extrapola	ated t	o equimolar	ratio	of solute	to	chelate.
Table 1	(*) 0-	ortho,	m- meta,	p- par	ra.				

Compound and its configuration	R ₁			R ₂	R ₃		Н	
butene=2-al-1	E(a)	CH 3	12.7	н	25. 2	Н	45.2	81
chloro-3-butene-2-al-1	Z(a)	СН₃	9.8	Cl	-	н	39	66.4
chloro-3-butene-2-al-1	E(a)	Cl	-	СН₃	16.0	н	37.2	69. B
bromo-3-butene-2-al-1	Z(a)	СН э	9	Br	-	н	36	56
bromo-3-butene-2-al-1	E(a)	Br	-	CH 3	14.7	Н	36	56
methyl-2-butene-2-al-1	E(a)	CH 3	13.5	н	25.2	CH 3	34	80
chloro-3-methy1-2-butene-2-al-1	Z(a)	CH 3	10.8	C1	-	CH 3	29.8	68
chloro-3-methyl-2-butene-2-al-1	E(a)	C1	-	СН ₃	14.3	CH 3	32	80
bromo-3-methyl-2-butene-2-al-1	Z(a)	CH 3	7.7	Br	-	CH 3	20.7	39.6
bromo-3-methyl-2-butene-2-al-1	E(a)	Br	-	СН з	10.4	СН з	24	49.6
phenyl-3-propens-2-al-1	ε	C ₆ H ₅	o:8.0m,p:3.3	н	19.8	н	34.2	60.4
chloro-3-phenyl-3-propene-2-al-:	LZ	C ₆ H ₅	o:7.0m,p:2.9	CI	-	н	31.5	51.4
bromo-3-phenyl-3-propene-2-al-1	z	C ₆ H₅	o;5.1m,p:2.2	Br	-	Н	24	41
chloro-2-butene-2-al-1	z	СН з	7.7	н	15.2	Cl	-	50.6
bromo-2-butene-2-al-l	z	CH₃	6.1	н	11.6	Br	-	37.2
chloro-2-phenyl-3-propens-2-al-1	C ₆ H₅	o:4.4m,p:2.2	н	11.1	C1	-	31.9	
bromo=2-pheny1=3-propene=2-al-1	z	C ₆ H ₅	o:2.8m,p:1.2	Н	7.9	Br	-	22.7

(a) stereochemistry determined previously by solvent effects(3)

This model, which fits all the compounds investigated, appears more acceptable than the former, or that proposed by SANDERS and WILLIAMS (9), and accounts well for data obtained previously with other carbonyl compounds (4). The 3Å distance is somewhat greater than that observed for Yb-O in ytterbium acetylacetonate trihydrate (7)(10).

Dur results lead to successful attribution of conformation, as well as configuration at the ethylenic bond for R_3 =Cl,Br previously derived from considerations on magnetic anisotropies (3) for compounds in Table 1. The effect of aromatic substituents, which deprive solvent effects of meaningful conclusions, is also eliminated. However, a closer inspection of <u>Table 1</u> rev**e**als secondary effects, such as decreasing shifts when going from a chloro to a bromosubstituted aldehyde, which cannot be accounted for at this point, and remain subject to further investigation.

REFERENCES

```
(1) author to whom inquiries should be sent
(2) L.Ernst, Nachr.Chem.Jechn; 18.Nr.23, 459 (1970), and references therein,
C.Beauté,Z.W.Wolkowski, Tetrahedron Letters,(12) 817-828 (1971)
(3) J.M.F.Gagan, A.G.Lane, D.Lloyd, J.Chem.Soc. (C), 18, 2484 (1970)
D.Marbach, D.Lelandais, J.P.Merda, unpublished results
(4) Z.W.Wolkowski, Tetrahedron Letters, 821 (1971)
(5) H.J.Keller, K.E.Schwartzhaus, Angew.Chem; Internat.Ed. 9, 196 (1970)
(6) C.C.Hinckley, J.Org.Chem. 35(8), 2834 (1970)
(7) J.Briggs, F.A.Hart, G.P.Moss, <u>Chem. Comm.</u> 1506 (1970)
(8) it should be noted that due to time-and distance-averaged effects, the proposed
geometry is, at best, but a practical model. The (3cos<sup>2</sup>X -1) function decreases
to zero at X = 54° and gives negative values for larger angles. As yet, no such
reverse shifts have been reported despite the variety of investigated geometries.
(9) J.K.M.Sanders, B.H.Williams, J.Amer.Chem.Soc. 93(3), 641 (1971)
(IU) J.A.Cunningham, D.E.Sands, W.F.Wagner, M.F.Richardson, <u>Inorg.Chem.</u> 8, 22 (1969)
```